

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/601,481	06/23/2003	Andrew Fensome	AHPWA25AUSA 8944 EXAMINER	
38199 7	7590 01/26/2005			
HOWSON AND HOWSON CATHY A. KODROFF ONE SPRING HOUSE CORPORATE CENTER BOX 457			HENLEY III, RAYMOND J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
SPRING HOUSE, PA 19477			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/601,481	FENSOME ET AL.			
Autiony Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Raymond J Henley III	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a			
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	in the final religibles, which ever in later. I			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period cee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amo	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension			
2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officially filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Co. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	ce later than three months after the mai FR 1.704(b).	ling date of the final rejection, even if			
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the			
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		•			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	l∏ will be entered and an lw or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-16 and 25-28.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	,			
10. Other:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Raymond J Heney III Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1614

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment has narrowed the scope of the claims which would require further consideration as to whether such scope represents subject matter that is not taught or suggested by the references of record. Further, Applicants have merely presented a general allegation, e.g., "the combination of '570 with Gast does not suggest the selection of the specifically claimed compounds of formula I or II as recited in claims 1-11, 14-16 and 25-28 and a selective estrogen receptor modulator." (remarks at page 10, fourth paragraph of the After-Final Amendment) that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.